



CITY OF MOORPARK, CALIFORNIA  
PROPOSITION 1B FUND  
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MODERNIZATION,  
IMPROVEMENT, AND SERVICE ENHANCEMENT  
ACCOUNT (PTMISEA)

FOR THE YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Focused  
on YOU



CITY OF MOORPARK, CALIFORNIA  
PROPOSITION 1B FUND  
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT, AND  
SERVICE ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT (PTMISEA)  
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

CITY OF MOORPARK, CALIFORNIA  
PROPOSITION 1B FUND  
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT, AND  
SERVICE ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT (PTMISEA)

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page Number</u>
Independent Auditors' Report .....	1
Financial Statements:	
Comparative Balance Sheets .....	4
Comparative Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance .....	5
Notes to Financial Statements	
General Information .....	6
Summary of Significant Accounting Policies .....	6
Cash and Investments .....	7
Unearned Revenues .....	8
Restrictions .....	8
Contingencies .....	8
Budgetary Data .....	8
Supplemental Data:	
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance- 2021 Budget and Actual .....	10
Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance- 2020 Budget and Actual .....	11
Independent Auditors' Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> .....	12



## INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Board of Commissioners  
Ventura County Transportation Commission  
Ventura, California

### Report on the Financial Statements

#### *Opinions*

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition 1B Fund – Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) of the City of Moorpark, California, (the “City”) as of and for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Proposition 1B Fund of the City as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### *Basis for Opinions*

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the City and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audits. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### *Emphasis of Matter*

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Proposition 1B Fund of the City and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the City, as of June 30, 2021 and 2020, the changes in financial position, or, where applicable, its cash flows for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

#### *Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements*

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the City’s ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the date of the financial statements.





To the Board of Commissioners  
Ventura County Transportation Commission  
Ventura, California

### ***Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements***

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if, there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the City's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

### ***Other Reporting Responsibilities***

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements of the Proposition 1B Fund of the City. The *Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actuals* listed as supplemental data in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relate directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the *Schedule of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Budget and Actuals* is fairly stated, in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.



To the Board of Commissioners  
Ventura County Transportation Commission  
Ventura, California

**Other Reporting Required by *Government Auditing Standards***

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 15, 2021, on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting for the Proposition 1B Fund and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Lance, Soll &amp; Lughard, LLP". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Brea, California  
December 15, 2021

CITY OF MOORPARK, CALIFORNIA

PROPOSITION 1B  
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT, AND  
SERVICE ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT (PTMISEA)

COMPARATIVE BALANCE SHEETS

JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

	<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>
<b>Assets:</b>		
Cash and investments (Note 3)	\$ 1,168,320	\$ 1,181,598
Interest receivable	1,366	2,362
<b>Total Assets</b>	<b><u>\$ 1,169,686</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 1,183,960</u></b>
<b>Liabilities and Fund Balance:</b>		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 19,747	\$ -
Unearned revenues (Note 4)	1,048,436	1,084,066
<b>Total Liabilities</b>	<b><u>1,068,183</u></b>	<b><u>1,084,066</u></b>
Fund Balance:		
Restricted	101,503	99,894
<b>Total Fund Balance</b>	<b><u>101,503</u></b>	<b><u>99,894</u></b>
<b>Total Liabilities and Fund Balance</b>	<b><u>\$ 1,169,686</u></b>	<b><u>\$ 1,183,960</u></b>

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements

CITY OF MOORPARK, CALIFORNIA

PROPOSITION 1B  
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT, AND  
SERVICE ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT (PTMISEA)

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES  
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

	<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>
<b>Revenues:</b>		
Prop 1B	\$ 35,630	\$ -
Interest income	1,609	47,339
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<u><b>37,239</b></u>	<u><b>47,339</b></u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>		
Construction, maintenance, and engineering	35,630	3,000
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<u><b>35,630</b></u>	<u><b>3,000</b></u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	1,609	44,339
Fund Balance at the Beginning of the Year	99,894	55,555
<b>Fund Balance at the End of the Year</b>	<u><u><b>\$ 101,503</b></u></u>	<u><u><b>\$ 99,894</b></u></u>

See Accompanying Notes to Financial Statements



**CITY OF MOORPARK**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020**

---

**Note 1: General Information**

The financial statements are intended to reflect the financial position and changes in financial position for the Proposition 1B Fund – Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) of the City of Moorpark, California (City) only.

In November 2006, California voters approved Proposition 1B, the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act, which authorized the State of California to sell \$20 billion in general obligation bonds, of which \$4 billion would be allocated to PTMISEA. Monies from PTMISEA are for transportation infrastructure, including grants for transit system safety, security, and disaster response projects. On October 5, 2012, Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) programmed \$1,091,000 in PTMISEA grant funds and accrued interest in the amount of \$37 it received from the State Controller's Office to the City for the expansion of the Moorpark Metrolink North Parking Lot - \$317,000 (Moorpark Project) and for the purchase of two replacement CNG buses - \$774,000. The replacement CNG buses were delivered on December 30, 2015.

On November 2, 2018, VCTC approved the transfer of \$801,877 in Proposition 1B Transit Capital Funds from the City of Simi Valley Compressed Natural Gas project to complete the construction of the Moorpark Project.

**Note 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

**a. Fund Accounting**

The accounts of the City are organized on the basis of funds and account groups. A fund is defined as an independent fiscal and accounting entity wherein operations of each fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that record resources, related liabilities, obligations, reserves, and equity segregated for the purpose of carrying out specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions, or limitations. The City accounts for the activity of the PTMISEA funds within its Proposition 1B Fund which is a Special Revenue Fund. Special Revenue Funds are used to account for and report on a particular source of revenue.

**b. Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting**

Special Revenue Funds are accounted for using the current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized as soon as they are both measurable and available. Revenues are considered to be available when they are collected within the current period or soon enough thereafter to pay liabilities of the current period. For this purpose, revenues are available if they are collected within 60 days of the end of the fiscal period. Expenditures generally are recorded when a liability is incurred.

**c. Revenue Recognition**

Recognition of revenues arising from nonexchange transactions, which include revenues from taxes, certain grants and contributions, is based on the primary characteristic from which the revenues are received by the City. For the City, funds received under Proposition 1B possess the characteristic of a voluntary nonexchange transaction similar to a grant. Revenues under Proposition 1B are recognized in the period when all eligibility requirements have been met.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)  
FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020

---

**Note 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

A deferred inflow of resources arises when potential revenues do not meet both the measurable and availability criteria for recognition in the current period. Deferred inflows of resources also arise when the City receives resources before it has a legal claim to them, as when grant monies are received prior to the incurrence of qualified expenditures. In subsequent periods, when both revenue recognition criteria are met, or when the City has a legal claim to the resources, the liability for deferred inflow of resources is removed from the balance sheet, and revenue is recognized.

**d. Fund Equity**

The components of the fund balances of governmental funds reflect the component classifications described below.

- *Non-spendable Fund Balance* – this includes amounts that cannot be spent because they are either (a) not in spendable form, or (b) legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.
- *Restricted Fund Balance* – this includes amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes stipulated by constitution, external resource providers, or through enabling legislation.
- *Committed Fund Balance* – this includes amounts that can be used only for the specific purposes determined by a formal action of the City Council.
- *Assigned Fund Balance* – this includes amounts that are intended to be used by the City for specific purposes, but do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed.
- *Unassigned Fund Balance* – this includes any deficit fund balance resulting from overspending for specific purposes for which amounts had been restricted, committed, or assigned.

It is the City's policy that restricted resources will be applied first, followed by (in order of application) committed, assigned, and unassigned resources, in the absence of a formal policy adopted by the City Council.

**e. Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Note 3: Cash and Investments**

The City has pooled its cash and investments in order to achieve a higher return on investments while facilitating management of cash. The balance in the pool account is available to meet current operating requirements. Cash in excess of current requirements is invested in various interest-bearing accounts and other investments for varying terms. The Proposition 1B Fund's cash and investments as of June 30, 2021 and 2020 is \$1,168,320 and \$1,181,598, respectively.

**CITY OF MOORPARK**

**NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (CONTINUED)**  
**FISCAL YEARS ENDED JUNE 30, 2021 AND 2020**

---

**Note 3: Cash and Investments (Continued)**

The Proposition 1B Fund's cash is deposited in the City's internal investment pool which is reported at fair value. Interest income is allocated on the basis of average cash balances. Investment policies and associated risk factors applicable to the Proposition 1B Fund are those of the City and are included in the City's basic financial statements.

See the City's basic financial statements for disclosures related to cash and investments including those disclosures relating to interest rate risk, credit rate risk, custodial credit risk, and concentration risk.

**Note 4: Unearned Revenues**

Proposition 1B funds (PTMISEA grants) allocated to the City by VCTC for specific transportation infrastructure projects are considered earned when they are properly spent for the specific projects authorized. Allocations received but not used are recorded as unearned revenues. In addition, unearned revenues include amounts due from VCTC that were not collected within the City's availability period. Refer back to Note 1 for additional information on the receipt of unearned Proposition 1B funds.

The balance of unearned revenues as of June 30, 2021 and June 20, 2020 consisted of the following:

Unearned Revenue at July 1, 2019	\$	1,084,066
Earned Revenue		-
Receipt of additional unearned Prop 1B		-
Unearned Revenue at June 30, 2020	\$	<u>1,084,066</u>
Unearned Revenue at July 1, 2020	\$	1,084,066
Earned Revenue		(35,630)
Receipt of additional unearned Prop 1B		-
Unearned Revenue at June 30, 2021	\$	<u>1,048,436</u>

**Note 5: Restrictions**

Funds received pursuant to Proposition 1B – Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) from VCTC may only be used for the expansion of the Moorpark Metrolink North Parking Lot and the purchase of two replacement CNG buses.

**Note 6: Contingencies**

See the City's basic financial statements for disclosures related to contingencies including those relating to various legal actions, administrative proceedings, or claims in the ordinary course of operations.

**Note 7: Budgetary Data**

The City adopts an annual budget on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and utilizes an encumbrance system as a management control technique to assist in controlling expenditures and enforcing revenue provisions. Under this system, the current year expenditures are charged against appropriations. Accordingly, actual revenues and expenditures can be compared with related budget amounts without any significant reconciling items.

**SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

CITY OF MOORPARK, CALIFORNIA

PROPOSITION 1B  
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT, AND  
SERVICE ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT (PTMISEA)

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES  
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2021

	Budget		Actual	Variance From Final Budget Favorable (Unfavorable)
	Original	Final		
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Prop 1B	\$ 1,084,066	\$ 1,084,066	\$ 35,630	\$ (1,048,436)
Interest income	10,000	10,000	1,609	(8,391)
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>1,094,066</b>	<b>1,094,066</b>	<b>37,239</b>	<b>(1,056,827)</b>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
Construction, maintenance, and engineering	1,133,695	1,133,695	35,630	1,098,065
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>1,133,695</b>	<b>1,133,695</b>	<b>35,630</b>	<b>1,098,065</b>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$ (39,629)	\$ (39,629)	1,609	\$ 41,238
Fund Balance at the Beginning of the Year			99,894	
<b>Fund Balance at the End of the Year</b>			<b>\$ 101,503</b>	

CITY OF MOORPARK, CALIFORNIA

PROPOSITION 1B  
PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MODERNIZATION, IMPROVEMENT, AND  
SERVICE ENHANCEMENT ACCOUNT (PTMISEA)

SCHEDULE OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES  
AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE - BUDGET AND ACTUAL

FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2020

	Budget		Actual	Variance From Final Budget Favorable (Unfavorable)
	Original	Final		
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Interest income	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000	\$ 47,339	\$ 37,339
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>47,339</b>	<b>37,339</b>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
Construction, maintenance, and engineering	3,000	3,000	3,000	-
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>3,000</b>	<b>-</b>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures	\$ 7,000	\$ 7,000	44,339	\$ 37,339
Fund Balance at the Beginning of the Year			55,555	
<b>Fund Balance at the End of the Year</b>			<b>\$ 99,894</b>	



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL  
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS  
BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE  
WITH *GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS*

To the Board of Commissioners  
Ventura County Transportation Commission  
Ventura, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition 1B Fund – Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) of the City of Moorpark, California (the “City”), as of and for the years ended June 30, 2021 and 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City’s Proposition 1B Fund’s basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 15, 2021.

### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City’s internal control.

*A deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity’s financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Proposition 1B Fund financial statements of the City are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.





To the Board of Commissioners  
Ventura County Transportation Commission  
Ventura, California

**Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

*Lance, Soll & Lughard, LLP*

Brea, California  
December 15, 2021