Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750)
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and
Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

Financial Statements

Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750)
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Independent Auditor's Report	<u>Page</u> 1
Financial Statements: Comparative Statement of Net Position	3
Comparative Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position	4
Comparative Statement of Cash Flows	5
Notes to Financial Statements General Information Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Cash and Investments Capital Assets Unearned Revenues Restrictions Contingencies	7 7 9 9 11 11
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	12



Board of Commissioners Ventura County Transportation Commission Ventura, California

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750) – Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) of the City of Simi Valley, California (City), as of and for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Emphasis of Matters

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750) of the City and do not purport to, and do not present fairly, the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, the changes in its financial position, or, where applicable, its cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750) of the City, as of June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the change in financial position of the Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750) of the City for the fiscal year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued a report dated February 23, 2017 on our consideration of the City's internal control over financial reporting for the Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750) and our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance, and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Lake Forest, California February 23, 2017

onrad LLP

Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750)
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement
And Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

Comparative Statements of Net Position

June 30, 2016 and 2015

<u>Assets</u>	 2016	 2015
Cash and investments (Note 3)	\$ 2,834,349	\$ 1,411,379
Total current assets	 2,834,349	1,411,379
Noncurrent assets Capital assets, net (Note 4)	3,481,228	1,917,018
Total capital assets	 3,481,228	 1,917,018
Total assets	 6,315,577	 3,328,397
<u>Liabilities</u>		
Accounts payable Unearned revenues (Note 5)	 2,834,349	 - 1,411,379
Total liabilities	 2,834,349	 1,411,379
Net position		
Invested in capital assets	 3,481,228	1,917,018
Total net position	\$ 3,481,228	\$ 1,917,018

Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750)
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement
And Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

Comparative Statement of Revenues, Expenses and Changes in Fund Net Position

Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

	 2016	2015
Operating revenues: Charges for services	\$ 	\$
Total operating revenues	 	
Operating expenses: Depreciation Other	 285,284 <u>-</u>	111,948 3,540
Total operating expenditures	 285,284	 115,488
Operating income (loss)	 (285,284)	 (115,488)
Non-operating revenues (expenses): Proposition 1B - PTMISEA Loss on disposition of capital assets	 1,387,158	1,459,685 (36,924)
Total non-operating revenue	 1,387,158	1,422,761
Transfers/other sources Capital contributions (TDA Article 4) Transfers-in	383,557 78,779	101,813 -
Total transfers/other sources	462,336	101,813
Change in net position	1,564,210	1,409,086
Net position at beginning of year	 1,917,018	 507,932
Net position at end of year	\$ 3,481,228	\$ 1,917,018

Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750)
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement
And Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

Comparative Statement of Cash Flows For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

	2016	2015
Cash flow from operating activities Cash paid for operating costs	\$ -	\$ (3,540)
Net cash used for operating activities		(3,540)
Cash flow from noncapital financing activities Cash received from TDA 4 Cash received from Prop 1B fund - PTMISEA Cash received from other transfers	383,558 2,810,127 78,779	101,813 11,568
Net cash provided from noncapital financing activities	3,272,464	113,381
Cash flows from capital and related financing activities Net purchase of capital assets	(1,849,494)	(1,557,958)
Net cash used for capital and related financing activities	(1,849,494)	(1,557,958)
Cash flows from investing activities: Cash received from interest from investments		
Net cash provided by investing activities		
Net increase (decrease) in cash and investments	1,422,970	(1,448,117)
Cash and investments, beginning of year	1,411,379	2,859,496
Cash and investments, end of year	\$ 2,834,349	\$ 1,411,379

Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750)
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement
And Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

Comparative Statement of Cash Flows For the Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

	2016	2015
Reconciliation of Operating Loss to Net Cash Used for Operating Activities		
Operating income (loss)	\$ (285,284)	\$ (115,488)
Adjustments to reconcile operating income (loss) to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities: Depreciation Changes in operating assets and liabilities	285,284 	111,948
Total adjustments	285,284	111,948
Net cash used for operating activities	\$ -	\$ (3,540)

Noncash investing, capital, and financing activities:

The local transportation development act fund had no non-cash financing activity in fiscal years 2014-15 and 2015-16.

Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750)
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

Notes to Financial Statements

Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

(1) General Information

The financial statements are intended to reflect the financial position and changes in financial position for the Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750) – Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) of the City of Simi Valley, California (City) only.

In November 2006, California voters approved Proposition 1B, the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act, which authorized the State of California to sell \$20 billion in general obligation bonds, of which \$4 billion would be allocated to PTMISEA. Monies from PTMISEA are for transportation infrastructure, including grants for transit system safety, security, and disaster response projects. Ventura County Transportation Commission (VCTC) programed PTMISEA grant funds it received from the State Controller's Office to the City for the CNG fueling station, CNG buses, and replacement paratransit van projects.

(2) <u>Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u>

Fund Accounting

The accounts of the City are organized on the basis of funds and account groups. A fund is defined as an independent fiscal and accounting entity wherein operations of each fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that record resources, related liabilities, obligations, reserves, and equity segregated for the purpose of carrying out specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions, or limitations. The City accounts for the activity of the PTMISEA funds within its Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750), which is an Enterprise Fund. Enterprise Funds are used to account for "business-type activities" similar to those found in the private sector such as services primarily funded through user charges.

Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting

Enterprise Funds are accounted for using the accrual basis of accounting. The revenues are recognized in the accounting period when they are earned, and expenses are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred.

The Fund applies pronouncements of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued on or before November 30, 1989 that are not in conflict with applicable GASB pronouncements.

Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750)
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

Notes to Financial Statements

Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Revenue Recognition

Recognition of revenues arising from nonexchange transactions, which include revenues from taxes, certain grants, and contributions, is based on the primary characteristic from which the revenues are received by the City.

For the City, funds received under Proposition 1B possess the characteristic of a voluntary nonexchange transaction similar to a grant. Revenues under Proposition 1B are recognized in the period when all eligibility requirements have been met.

An unearned revenue arises when grant receipts are collected in excess of reimbursable costs incurred. Unearned revenues also arise when the City receives resources before it has a legal claim to them, as when grant monies are received prior to the incurrence of qualified expenditures. In subsequent periods, when both revenue recognition criteria are met, or when the City has a legal claim to the resources, the liability for unearned revenue is removed from the statement of net position, and revenue is recognized.

Net Position

The components of net position reflect the component classifications described below.

- Net Investment in Capital Assets this includes capital assets net of depreciation reduced by the outstanding balances of bonds and notes, if any, that are attributable to the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. Applicable deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources, if any, should also be included in this component of net position.
- Restricted this includes assets, mainly cash and investments, bound by constraints on resources that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or laws and regulations of other governments.
- Unrestricted this includes the remaining balance of the net amount of assets, deferred
 outflows of resources that are not included in the determination of net investment in
 capital, or the restricted components of net position.

It is the City's policy that restricted resources will be applied first, followed by unrestricted resources, in the absence of a formal policy adopted by the City Council.

Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750)
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

Notes to Financial Statements

Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

(2) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain amounts and disclosures. Accordingly, actual results could differ from those estimates.

(3) Cash and Investments

The City has pooled its cash and investments in order to achieve a higher return on investments while facilitating management of cash. The balance in the pool account is available to meet current operating requirements. Cash in excess of current requirements is invested in various interest-bearing accounts and other investments for varying terms. The Proposition 1B Fund's (Fund 750) cash and investments as of June 30, 2016 and 2015 are \$2,834,349 and \$1,411,379, respectively.

The Proposition 1B Fund's (Fund 750) cash is deposited in the City's internal investment pool which is reported at fair value. Interest income is allocated on the basis of average cash balances. Investment policies and associated risk factors applicable to the Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750) are those of the City and are included in the City's basic financial statements.

See the City's basic financial statements for disclosures related to cash and investments including those disclosures relating to interest rate risk, credit rate risk, custodial credit risk, and concentration risk.

(4) Capital Assets

Capital assets are recorded at cost and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. The purpose of depreciation is to spread the cost of capital assets over the life of these assets. The amount charged to depreciation expense each year represents that year's pro rata share of the cost of capital assets.

Depreciation of all capital assets is charged as an expense against operations each year and the total amount of depreciation taken over the years, called accumulated depreciation, is reported on the balance sheet as a reduction in the book value of the capital assets.

Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750)
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

Notes to Financial Statements

Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

(4) Capital Assets (Continued)

Depreciation of capital assets in service is provided using the straight-line method which means the cost of the asset is divided by its expected useful life in years, and the result is charged to expense each year until the asset is fully depreciated. The City assigned the useful lives listed below to capital assets.

Buildings	50 years	Water/Sewer lines	30-100 years
Building Improvements	25 years	Intangibles	5-15 years
Vehicles	4-7 years	Infrastructure	25-50 years
Machine and equipment	3-15 years		•

Capital assets consisted of the following June 30, 2016:

	Balance at			Balance at
	June 30, 2015	Additions	Retirements	June 30, 2016
Capital assets not being depreciated:				
Construction-in-progress:				
Replacement of DAR vans	\$ -	\$1,029,975	\$ -	\$ 1,029,975
Total capital assets not being depreciated	<u> </u>	1,029,975		1,029,975
Capital assets being depreciated:				
Security cameras*	32,968	-	-	32,968
CNG fueling station	261,764	-	-	261,764
Security fencing	204,839	-	-	204,839
CNG buses	1,557,958	-	-	1,557,958
CNG vans	-	819,519	-	819,519
Total capital assets being depreciated	2,057,529	819,519		2,877,048
Less accumulated depreciation for:				
Security cameras*	(9,890)	(3,297)	-	(13,187)
CNG fueling station	(29,691)	(6,544)	-	(36,235)
Security fencing	(8,194)	(4,097)	-	(12,291)
CNG busses	(92,736)	(222,565)	-	(315,301)
CNG vans	-	(48,781)	-	(48,781)
(Total Accumulated Depreciation)	(140,511)	(285,284)	-	(425,795)
Total capital assets being depreciated, net	1,917,018	534,235		2,451,253
Net Capital Assets	\$ 1,917,018	\$ 1,564,210	\$ -	\$ 3,481,228

^{*} The security cameras were purchased with Transit System Safety, Security, and Disaster Response Account (TSSSDRA) funds and are being accounted for within the City's Fund 750.

Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750)
Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA)

Notes to Financial Statements

Fiscal Years Ended June 30, 2016 and 2015

(5) <u>Unearned Revenues</u>

Proposition funds allocated to the City by VCTC for specific transportation infrastructure projects are considered earned when they are properly spent for the specific projects authorized. Allocations and any interest received but not used are recorded as unearned revenue. The balance of unearned revenue as of June 30, 2016 consists of the following:

Unearned revenue at July 1, 2015	\$ 1,411,379
Prop 1B or PTMISEA Assistance	2,800,000
Interest income	10,128
Earned Revenue	(1,387,158)

Unearned revenue as of June 30, 2016 <u>\$ 2,834,349</u>

(6) Restrictions

Funds received pursuant to Proposition 1B (Fund 750) – Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) from VCTC may only be used for the CNG fueling station, CNG buses, and replacement paratransit van projects.

(7) Contingencies

See the City's basic financial statements for disclosures related to contingencies including those relating to various legal actions, administrative proceedings, or claims in the ordinary course of operations.



Board of Commissioners Ventura County Transportation Commission Ventura, California

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750) — Public Transportation Modernization, Improvement, and Service Enhancement Account (PTMISEA) of the City of Simi Valley, California (City), as of and for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2016 and 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise City's Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750) financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated February 23, 2017.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements of the Proposition 1B Fund (Fund 750) of the City are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit; and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Lake Forest, California

morad LL

February 23, 2017